

Orthogonal polynomials and zeros of optimal approximants

Daniel Seco (with Bénéteau, Khavinson, Liaw, and Simanek)

Universitat de Barcelona

Optimal Point Configurations and Orthogonal Polynomials, CIEM,
Castro Urdiales, 20/04/2017

Definition

Let $\omega_0 = 1$, $\omega_k > 0$, and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\omega_k}{\omega_{k+1}} = 1$. Then

$$H_\omega^2 = \left\{ f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) : f(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} a_k z^k, \|f\|_\omega^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 \omega_k < \infty \right\}.$$

Definition

Let $\omega_0 = 1$, $\omega_k > 0$, and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\omega_k}{\omega_{k+1}} = 1$. Then

$$H_\omega^2 = \left\{ f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) : f(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} a_k z^k, \|f\|_\omega^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 \omega_k < \infty \right\}.$$

Definition

f is *cyclic* (in H_ω^2) if $\mathcal{P}f$ is dense in H_ω^2 .

Definition

Let $\omega_0 = 1$, $\omega_k > 0$, and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\omega_k}{\omega_{k+1}} = 1$. Then

$$H_\omega^2 = \left\{ f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) : f(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} a_k z^k, \|f\|_\omega^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 \omega_k < \infty \right\}.$$

Definition

f is *cyclic* (in H_ω^2) if $\mathcal{P}f$ is dense in H_ω^2 .

f cyclic $\Leftrightarrow \exists \{p_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{P} : \|p_n f - 1\|_\omega \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$.

Definition

Let $\omega_0 = 1$, $\omega_k > 0$, and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\omega_k}{\omega_{k+1}} = 1$. Then

$$H_\omega^2 = \left\{ f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) : f(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} a_k z^k, \|f\|_\omega^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 \omega_k < \infty \right\}.$$

Definition

f is *cyclic* (in H_ω^2) if $\mathcal{P}f$ is dense in H_ω^2 .

f cyclic $\Leftrightarrow \exists \{p_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{P} : \|p_n f - 1\|_\omega \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$.

f regular + $Z(f) \cap \overline{\mathbb{D}} = \emptyset \Rightarrow$ cyclic $\Rightarrow Z(f) \cap \mathbb{D} = \emptyset$.

Our approach

- Our approach: find p_n^* that minimizes $\|p_n f - 1\|$ among \mathcal{P}_n , that is, the orthogonal projection of 1 onto $\mathcal{P}_n f$.

Our approach

- Our approach: find p_n^* that minimizes $\|p_n f - 1\|$ among \mathcal{P}_n , that is, the orthogonal projection of 1 onto $\mathcal{P}_n f$.

Theorem (BCLSS, '15; FMS, '14)

$p_n^*(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n c_j z^j$ only solution to $Mc = b$ where

$$c = (c_j)_{j=0}^n, \quad M_{j,k} = \langle z^j f, z^k f \rangle_\omega, \quad b_k = \langle 1, z^k f \rangle_\omega.$$

Our approach

- Our approach: find p_n^* that minimizes $\|p_n f - 1\|$ among \mathcal{P}_n , that is, the orthogonal projection of 1 onto $\mathcal{P}_n f$.

Theorem (BCLSS, '15; FMS, '14)

$p_n^*(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n c_j z^j$ only solution to $Mc = b$ where

$$c = (c_j)_{j=0}^n, \quad M_{j,k} = \langle z^j f, z^k f \rangle_\omega, \quad b_k = \langle 1, z^k f \rangle_\omega.$$

- Useful techniques for studying cyclicity of a fixed function.
Cyclic $\Leftrightarrow \|p_n^* f - 1\| \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow p_n^*(0) \rightarrow 1/f(0)$.

Our approach

- Our approach: find p_n^* that minimizes $\|p_n f - 1\|$ among \mathcal{P}_n , that is, the orthogonal projection of 1 onto $\mathcal{P}_n f$.

Theorem (BCLSS, '15; FMS, '14)

$p_n^*(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n c_j z^j$ only solution to $Mc = b$ where

$$c = (c_j)_{j=0}^n, \quad M_{j,k} = \langle z^j f, z^k f \rangle_\omega, \quad b_k = \langle 1, z^k f \rangle_\omega.$$

- Useful techniques for studying cyclicity of a fixed function.
Cyclic $\Leftrightarrow \|p_n^* f - 1\| \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow p_n^*(0) \rightarrow 1/f(0)$.
- So we want to know about these polynomials!
Today: where are their zeros? Which points of the plane may be zeros of such polynomials? (for a fixed space)

The connection between OP and OA

$$p_n^*(z)f(z) = \Pi_n(1)(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \langle 1, \varphi_k f \rangle \varphi_k(z) f(z)$$

The connection between OP and OA

$$p_n^*(z)f(z) = \Pi_n(1)(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \langle 1, \varphi_k f \rangle \varphi_k(z) f(z)$$

Theorem (BKLSS '16)

$$p_n^*(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \varphi_k(z) \overline{\varphi_k(0) f(0)}.$$

The connection between OP and OA

$$p_n^*(z)f(z) = \Pi_n(1)(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \langle 1, \varphi_k f \rangle \varphi_k(z) f(z)$$

Theorem (BKLSS '16)

$$p_n^*(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \varphi_k(z) \overline{\varphi_k(0) f(0)}.$$

We can also (in general) recover OPs from opt. apprs.

The connection between OP and OA

$$p_n^*(z)f(z) = \Pi_n(1)(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \langle 1, \varphi_k f \rangle \varphi_k(z) f(z)$$

Theorem (BKLSS '16)

$$p_n^*(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \varphi_k(z) \overline{\varphi_k(0) f(0)}.$$

We can also (in general) recover OPs from opt. apprs.

In \mathcal{H}^2 ,

$$Z(p_n^*) = \{\bar{z}_j^{-1} : z_j \in Z(\varphi_n)\}.$$

In fact, these zero sets characterize cyclic functions.

So where are the zeros?

- Easy trick: it is enough to study $n = 1$,

So where are the zeros?

- Easy trick: it is enough to study $n = 1$, ...and then we can solve the problem for each fixed function f :
If $(z - z_0)$ optimal for $f \Rightarrow z_0 = \frac{\|zf\|^2}{\langle f, zf \rangle}$.

So where are the zeros?

- Easy trick: it is enough to study $n = 1$, ...and then we can solve the problem for each fixed function f :
If $(z - z_0)$ optimal for $f \Rightarrow z_0 = \frac{\|zf\|^2}{\langle f, zf \rangle}$.
- If $z_0 \notin \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, z_0 is achieved by $f(z) = 1/(z - z_0)$ in any space.

So where are the zeros?

- Easy trick: it is enough to study $n = 1$, ...and then we can solve the problem for each fixed function f :
If $(z - z_0)$ optimal for $f \Rightarrow z_0 = \frac{\|zf\|^2}{\langle f, zf \rangle}$.
- If $z_0 \notin \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, z_0 is achieved by $f(z) = 1/(z - z_0)$ in any space.
- If we rotate the variable, the result also rotates, and the set of points that are achieved is connected so the only unknown is: which points in $[0, 1]$ are possible?

So where are the zeros?

- Easy trick: it is enough to study $n = 1$, ...and then we can solve the problem for each fixed function f :

If $(z - z_0)$ optimal for $f \Rightarrow z_0 = \frac{\|zf\|^2}{\langle f, zf \rangle}$.

- If $z_0 \notin \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, z_0 is achieved by $f(z) = 1/(z - z_0)$ in any space.
- If we rotate the variable, the result also rotates, and the set of points that are achieved is connected so the only unknown is: which points in $[0, 1]$ are possible?

- If ω non decreasing, then $\frac{\|zf\|^2}{|\langle f, zf \rangle|} \stackrel{CSI}{>} \frac{\|zf\|}{\|f\|} \stackrel{nondec}{\geq} 1 \Rightarrow z_0 \notin \overline{\mathbb{D}}$.

So where are the zeros?

- Easy trick: it is enough to study $n = 1, \dots$ and then we can solve the problem for each fixed function f :

If $(z - z_0)$ optimal for $f \Rightarrow z_0 = \frac{\|zf\|^2}{\langle f, zf \rangle}$.

- If $z_0 \notin \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, z_0 is achieved by $f(z) = 1/(z - z_0)$ in any space.
- If we rotate the variable, the result also rotates, and the set of points that are achieved is connected so the only unknown is: which points in $[0, 1]$ are possible?
- If ω non decreasing, then $\frac{\|zf\|^2}{|\langle f, zf \rangle|} \stackrel{CSI}{>} \frac{\|zf\|}{\|f\|} \stackrel{nondec}{\geq} 1 \Rightarrow z_0 \notin \overline{\mathbb{D}}$.
- If $\exists k, n : \omega_k > 4\omega_{k+n+1}$ then $f_{k,n}(z) = z^k T_n(\frac{1+z}{1-z})$ makes $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$.

The general problem

Problem

Let ω fixed (decreasing).

- What is the value of $\mathcal{U}_\omega := \sup \left\{ \frac{|\langle f, zf \rangle|}{\|zf\|^2} : f \in H_\omega^2 \right\}$?

The general problem

Problem

Let ω fixed (decreasing).

- What is the value of $\mathcal{U}_\omega := \sup \left\{ \frac{|\langle f, zf \rangle|}{\|zf\|^2} : f \in H_\omega^2 \right\}$?
- Is the supremum a maximum? Uniqueness?

The general problem

Problem

Let ω fixed (decreasing).

- What is the value of $\mathcal{U}_\omega := \sup \left\{ \frac{|\langle f, zf \rangle|}{\|zf\|^2} : f \in H_\omega^2 \right\}$?
- Is the supremum a maximum? Uniqueness?
- If so, what is the extremal function?

The general problem

Problem

Let ω fixed (decreasing).

- What is the value of $\mathcal{U}_\omega := \sup \left\{ \frac{|\langle f, zf \rangle|}{\|zf\|^2} : f \in H_\omega^2 \right\}$?
- Is the supremum a maximum? Uniqueness?
- If so, what is the extremal function?

Theorem

- $\mathcal{U}_\omega = \frac{\|\mathcal{J}_\omega\|}{2}$

The general problem

Problem

Let ω fixed (decreasing).

- What is the value of $\mathcal{U}_\omega := \sup \left\{ \frac{|\langle f, zf \rangle|}{\|zf\|^2} : f \in H_\omega^2 \right\}$?
- Is the supremum a maximum? Uniqueness?
- If so, what is the extremal function?

Theorem

- $\mathcal{U}_\omega = \frac{\|\mathcal{J}_\omega\|}{2}$
- If $\mathcal{U}_\omega > 1$ then $\exists! f^*$ (up to $f^*(z) \rightarrow Cf^*(ze^{i\theta})$).

The general problem

Problem

Let ω fixed (decreasing).

- What is the value of $\mathcal{U}_\omega := \sup \left\{ \frac{|\langle f, zf \rangle|}{\|zf\|^2} : f \in H_\omega^2 \right\}$?
- Is the supremum a maximum? Uniqueness?
- If so, what is the extremal function?

Theorem

- $\mathcal{U}_\omega = \frac{\|\mathcal{J}_\omega\|}{2}$
- If $\mathcal{U}_\omega > 1$ then $\exists! f^*$ (up to $f^*(z) \rightarrow Cf^*(ze^{i\theta})$).
- $f^*(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(\|\mathcal{J}_\omega\|) z^n$

The general problem

Problem

Let ω fixed (decreasing).

- What is the value of $\mathcal{U}_\omega := \sup \left\{ \frac{|\langle f, zf \rangle|}{\|zf\|^2} : f \in H_\omega^2 \right\}$?
- Is the supremum a maximum? Uniqueness?
- If so, what is the extremal function?

Theorem

- $\mathcal{U}_\omega = \frac{\|\mathcal{J}_\omega\|}{2}$
- If $\mathcal{U}_\omega > 1$ then $\exists! f^*$ (up to $f^*(z) \rightarrow Cf^*(ze^{i\theta})$).
- $f^*(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(\|\mathcal{J}_\omega\|) z^n$

where $\{P_k\}$ is a particular family of monic orthogonal polynomials given by a recurrence relationship and \mathcal{J}_ω is the Jacobi matrix

$$(\mathcal{J}_\omega)_{i,j} = \sqrt{\frac{\omega_j}{\omega_{j+1}}} \text{ if } |i-j| = 1; 0, \text{ o.w.}$$

- Enough to study $f \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $\lim \mathcal{U}_{\omega,n} = \mathcal{U}_{\omega}$

- Enough to study $f \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $\lim \mathcal{U}_{\omega,n} = \mathcal{U}_{\omega}$
- Easy: functional is greater if coefficients ≥ 0 .

- Enough to study $f \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $\lim \mathcal{U}_{\omega,n} = \mathcal{U}_{\omega}$
- Easy: functional is greater if coefficients ≥ 0 .
- Then, Lagrange multipliers and we obtain

$$a_0 = 1,$$

$$a_1 = \lambda$$

$$a_{k+1} = \lambda a_k - \frac{\omega_k}{\omega_{k+1}} a_{k-1}.$$

- Enough to study $f \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $\lim \mathcal{U}_{\omega,n} = \mathcal{U}_{\omega}$
- Easy: functional is greater if coefficients ≥ 0 .
- Then, Lagrange multipliers and we obtain

$$a_0 = 1,$$

$$a_1 = \lambda$$

$$a_{k+1} = \lambda a_k - \frac{\omega_k}{\omega_{k+1}} a_{k-1}.$$

By induction, a_j is a monic polynomial of degree j on λ .

- Enough to study $f \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $\lim \mathcal{U}_{\omega,n} = \mathcal{U}_{\omega}$
- Easy: functional is greater if coefficients ≥ 0 .
- Then, Lagrange multipliers and we obtain

$$a_0 = 1,$$

$$a_1 = \lambda$$

$$a_{k+1} = \lambda a_k - \frac{\omega_k}{\omega_{k+1}} a_{k-1}.$$

By induction, a_j is a monic polynomial of degree j on λ .

- Favard's Theorem: $a_k = P_k(\lambda)$, monic polys with such a recursion are orthogonal for the spectral measure of the Jacobi matrix \mathcal{J}_{ω} .

- Enough to study $f \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $\lim \mathcal{U}_{\omega,n} = \mathcal{U}_{\omega}$
- Easy: functional is greater if coefficients ≥ 0 .
- Then, Lagrange multipliers and we obtain

$$a_0 = 1,$$

$$a_1 = \lambda$$

$$a_{k+1} = \lambda a_k - \frac{\omega_k}{\omega_{k+1}} a_{k-1}.$$

By induction, a_j is a monic polynomial of degree j on λ .

- Favard's Theorem: $a_k = P_k(\lambda)$, monic polys with such a recursion are orthogonal for the spectral measure of the Jacobi matrix \mathcal{J}_{ω} .
- With other classical and modern results on orthogonal polynomials and Jacobi matrices + some work on regularity of some measures \Rightarrow our Thm.

OK, but... can you compute it?

We were able to compute explicitly $\|\mathcal{J}_\omega\|$ for the following:

Definition

$\beta > -1$, $\omega_k = \binom{\beta+k+1}{k}^{-1}$, $H_\omega^2 =: \mathcal{A}_\beta^2$, *Bergman-type space* (for β).

OK, but... can you compute it?

We were able to compute explicitly $\|\mathcal{J}_\omega\|$ for the following:

Definition

$\beta > -1$, $\omega_k = \binom{\beta+k+1}{k}^{-1}$, $H_\omega^2 =: \mathcal{A}_\beta^2$, *Bergman-type space* (for β).

$$\|f\|_\omega^2 = (\beta + 1) \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2)^\beta dA(z)$$

OK, but... can you compute it?

We were able to compute explicitly $\|\mathcal{J}_\omega\|$ for the following:

Definition

$\beta > -1$, $\omega_k = \binom{\beta+k+1}{k}^{-1}$, $H_\omega^2 =: \mathcal{A}_\beta^2$, Bergman-type space (for β).

$$\|f\|_\omega^2 = (\beta + 1) \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2)^\beta dA(z)$$

Theorem

β, ω as above. $\|\mathcal{J}_\omega\| = \frac{\beta+3}{\sqrt{\beta+2}}$, $f^*(z) = \left(1 - \frac{z}{\sqrt{\beta+2}}\right)^{-(\beta+3)}$

Example in the Bergman space

- Back to the recursion ($\beta = 0$), we get:

$$a_{k+1} = \lambda a_k - \frac{k+2}{k+1} a_{k-1}.$$

Example in the Bergman space

- Back to the recursion ($\beta = 0$), we get:

$$a_{k+1} = \lambda a_k - \frac{k+2}{k+1} a_{k-1}.$$

- Sum + multiply:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+1} z^k = \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k+2}{k+1} a_{k-1} z^k.$$

Example in the Bergman space

- Back to the recursion ($\beta = 0$), we get:

$$a_{k+1} = \lambda a_k - \frac{k+2}{k+1} a_{k-1}.$$

- Sum + multiply:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+1} z^k = \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k+2}{k+1} a_{k-1} z^k.$$

- This becomes a differential equation:

$$f^*(z)(3z - \lambda) + (f^*)'(z)(1 - \lambda z + z^2) = 0.$$

Example in the Bergman space

- Back to the recursion ($\beta = 0$), we get:

$$a_{k+1} = \lambda a_k - \frac{k+2}{k+1} a_{k-1}.$$

- Sum + multiply:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+1} z^k = \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k+2}{k+1} a_{k-1} z^k.$$

- This becomes a differential equation:

$$f^*(z)(3z - \lambda) + (f^*)'(z)(1 - \lambda z + z^2) = 0.$$

- For the solution to be analytic in \mathbb{D} we need one zero of $(1 - \lambda z + z^2)$ to match $\lambda/3$. This gives the value of λ . Then solve everything else.

A few further directions

- Which pairs of points happen for degree 2 and do those zeros minimize anything “reasonable” directly? ... a link with potentials?

A few further directions

- Which pairs of points happen for degree 2 and do those zeros minimize anything “reasonable” directly? ... a link with potentials?
- Algorithms for inversion of matrices may give characterizations of cyclicity, so... adequate algorithms?

A few further directions

- Which pairs of points happen for degree 2 and do those zeros minimize anything “reasonable” directly? ... a link with potentials?
- Algorithms for inversion of matrices may give characterizations of cyclicity, so... adequate algorithms?
- Many more problems! Ask me!

Gracias!

