

Matrix Orthogonal Polynomials and Time and Band limiting

Mirta M. Castro Smirnova

Universidad de Sevilla, España

Joint work with *F. Alberto Grünbaum, University of California, Berkeley, I. Pacharoni, CIEM-FaMAF, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina, I. Zurrián, Pontificia Universidad Católica, Santiago, Chile*

OPCOP, 19-22 de abril 2017, Castro Urdiales, Cantabria

Outline

- 1 Introduction
 - The origins of time and band limiting
 - Setting of the problem in the matrix case
- 2 Matrix Orthogonal Polynomials and band and time limiting
 - The *discrete version* of the problem
 - The *continuous version* of the problem, an example

Outline

- 1 Introduction
 - The origins of time and band limiting
 - Setting of the problem in the matrix case

- 2 Matrix Orthogonal Polynomials and band and time limiting
 - The *discrete version* of the problem
 - The *continuous version* of the problem, an example

The origins of time and band limiting

C. Shannon, *A mathematical theory of communication*, Bell Tech. J., vol **27**, 1948, pp 379–423 (July) and pp 623–656 (Oct).

Shannon's Problem:

Consider an unknown signal $f(t)$ of finite duration, i.e. with support in $[-T, T]$ (**time limiting**); the data are the values of its Fourier transform $F(k)$ for k in the interval $[-\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}]$. (**band limiting**)

In practice one only has noisy values of $F(k)$.

What is the best use of this information?

Though this problem was posed originally by Shannon, a full solution can be found in joint works by: David Slepian, Henry Landau and Henry Pollak. (Bell labs, 1960's)

The origins of time and band limiting

C. Shannon, *A mathematical theory of communication*, Bell Tech. J., vol **27**, 1948, pp 379–423 (July) and pp 623–656 (Oct).

Shannon's Problem:

Consider an unknown signal $f(t)$ of finite duration, i.e. with support in $[-T, T]$ (**time limiting**); the data are the values of its Fourier transform $F(k)$ for k in the interval $[-\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}]$. (**band limiting**)

In practice one only has noisy values of $F(k)$.

What is the best use of this information?

Though this problem was posed originally by Shannon, a full solution can be found in joint works by: David Slepian, Henry Landau and Henry Pollak. (Bell labs, 1960's)

The origins of time and band limiting

C. Shannon, *A mathematical theory of communication*, Bell Tech. J., vol **27**, 1948, pp 379–423 (July) and pp 623–656 (Oct).

Shannon's Problem:

Consider an unknown signal $f(t)$ of finite duration, i.e. with support in $[-T, T]$ (**time limiting**); the data are the values of its Fourier transform $F(k)$ for k in the interval $[-\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}]$. (**band limiting**)

In practice one only has noisy values of $F(k)$.

What is the best use of this information?

Though this problem was posed originally by Shannon, a full solution can be found in joint works by: David Slepian, Henry Landau and Henry Pollak. (Bell labs, 1960's)

The origins of time and band limiting

In certain areas of Mathematics one arrives at a global operator, given by an **integral kernel** or a **full matrix**. One needs to compute numerically many of its eigenfunctions in an efficient way.

In certain cases one can exhibit a **differential operator** of **low order**, or a **narrow band matrix**, which has **the same eigenfunctions** as the global operator. The numerical computation of the eigenfunctions of the differential operator, a local one, is *much easier* than the initial task.

The origins of time and band limiting

Shannon- Slepian- Landau- Pollak :

$$A = [-T, T], \quad B = [-\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}],$$

$$(\mathcal{I}f)(x) = \int_{-T}^T \frac{\sin(\mathcal{W}(x-y))}{x-y} f(y) dy, \quad x \in A.$$

The operator

$$(Df)(x) = ((T^2 - x^2)f'(x))' - \mathcal{W}^2 x^2 f$$

has **simple spectrum** and an appropriate selfadjoint extension of D **commutes** with \mathcal{I} .

The origins of time and band limiting

The *kernel* is obtained by integrating e^{isx} in $[-\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}]$ and one has an integral operator acting on functions defined in $[-T, T]$.

$$k(x, y) = \frac{\sin(\mathcal{W}(x - y))}{x - y} = \int_{-\mathcal{W}}^{\mathcal{W}} e^{isx} e^{-isy} ds$$

The origins of time and band limiting

How to find (and explain the existence of...) the differential operator D that will commute with an integral operator \mathcal{I} with kernel $k(x, y)$ acting on $L^2[a, b]$?

Some useful guide-references

- F. A. Grünbaum, *Eigenvectors of a Toeplitz matrix: discrete version of the prolate spheroidal wave functions*, SIAM J. on Algebraic Discrete Methods **2** (1981), 136–141.
- F. A. Grünbaum, *A new property of reproducing kernels of classical orthogonal polynomials*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **95** (1983), 491–500.
- F. A. Grünbaum, L. Longhi, and M. Perlstadt, *Differential operators commuting with finite convolution integral operators: some nonabelian examples*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **42** (1982), 941–955.

The origins of time and band limiting

How to find (and explain the existence of...) the differential operator D that will commute with an integral operator \mathcal{I} with kernel $k(x, y)$ acting on $L^2[a, b]$?

Some useful guide-references

- F. A. Grünbaum, *Eigenvectors of a Toeplitz matrix: discrete version of the prolate spheroidal wave functions*, SIAM J. on Algebraic Discrete Methods **2** (1981), 136–141.
- F. A. Grünbaum, *A new property of reproducing kernels of classical orthogonal polynomials*, J. Math. Anal. Applic. **95** (1983), 491–500.
- F. A. Grünbaum, L. Longhi, and M. Perlstadt, *Differential operators commuting with finite convolution integral operators: some nonabelian examples*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **42** (1982), 941–955.

The matrix case

In this talk we will consider an example of **matrix valued orthogonal polynomials** satisfying differential equations (i.e a bispectral situation) in connection with time and band limiting.

- **“discrete version” of time and band limiting:** one deals with a global operator given by a **full matrix** and one looks for a commuting local object given by a **tridiagonal matrix**.
- **“continuous version” of time and band limiting:** In this talk we deal with a global operator given by an **integral operator** and one looks for a commuting local object given by a **second order differential operator**

Matrix orthogonal polynomials

We consider a sequence of **matrix orthonormal polynomials** Q_n w.r.t a matrix weight $W(t)$, of dimension R , supported in an interval $[a, b]$:

$$\langle Q_i, Q_j \rangle_W = \int_a^b Q_i(x) W(x) Q_j(x)^* dx = \delta_{ij} \mathcal{I}$$

- Q_n are matrix polynomials with non singular leading coefficients

$$Q_n(x) = A_n x^n + A_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + A_0, \quad A_n \in M_R(\mathbb{R}).$$

- The sequence Q_n is unique up to the multiplication on the left by a unitary matrix.

Matrix orthogonal polynomials

We consider a sequence of **matrix orthonormal polynomials** Q_n w.r.t a matrix weight $W(t)$, of dimension R , supported in an interval $[a, b]$:

$$\langle Q_i, Q_j \rangle_W = \int_a^b Q_i(x) W(x) Q_j(x)^* dx = \delta_{ij} \mathcal{I}$$

- Q_n are matrix polynomials with non singular leading coefficients

$$Q_n(x) = A_n x^n + A_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + A_0, \quad A_n \in M_R(\mathbb{R}).$$

- The sequence Q_n is unique up to the multiplication on the left by a unitary matrix.

Matrix orthogonal polynomials

We consider a sequence of **matrix orthonormal polynomials** Q_n w.r.t a matrix weight $W(t)$, of dimension R , supported in an interval $[a, b]$:

$$\langle Q_i, Q_j \rangle_W = \int_a^b Q_i(x) W(x) Q_j(x)^* dx = \delta_{ij} \mathcal{I}$$

- Q_n are matrix polynomials with non singular leading coefficients

$$Q_n(x) = A_n x^n + A_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + A_0, \quad A_n \in M_R(\mathbb{R}).$$

- The sequence Q_n is unique up to the multiplication on the left by a unitary matrix.

The noncommutative setting

Consider the following **two Hilbert spaces**:

- The space $L^2(W)$, of all measurable matrix valued functions $f(x)$, $x \in (a, b)$, satisfying $\int_a^b \text{tr} (f(x)W(x)f^*(x)) dx < \infty$.
- The space $\ell^2(M_R, \mathbb{N}_0)$ of all real valued $R \times R$ matrix sequences $(C_w)_{w \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ such that $\sum_{w=0}^{\infty} \text{tr} (C_w C_w^*) < \infty$.

The noncommutative setting

Consider the following **two Hilbert spaces**:

- The space $L^2(W)$, of all measurable matrix valued functions $f(x)$, $x \in (a, b)$, satisfying $\int_a^b \text{tr} (f(x)W(x)f^*(x)) dx < \infty$.
- The space $\ell^2(M_R, \mathbb{N}_0)$ of all real valued $R \times R$ matrix sequences $(C_w)_{w \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ such that $\sum_{w=0}^{\infty} \text{tr} (C_w C_w^*) < \infty$.

The noncommutative setting

The map $\mathcal{F} : \ell^2(M_R, \mathbb{N}_0) \longrightarrow L^2(W)$ (analogy to the **Fourier Transform**) given by

$$(A_w)_{w=0}^{\infty} \longmapsto \sum_{w=0}^{\infty} A_w Q_w(x)$$

is an isometry. If the polynomials are dense in $L^2(W)$, this map is unitary with the inverse $\mathcal{F}^{-1} : L^2(W) \longrightarrow \ell^2(M_R, \mathbb{N}_0)$ given by

$$f \longmapsto A_w = \int_a^b f(x) W(x) Q_w^*(x) dx.$$

- Here \mathbb{N}_0 takes up the role of “physical space”
- The interval (a, b) the role of “frequency space”.

This is, clearly, a **noncommutative extension** of the problem raised by C. Shanon.

The noncommutative setting

The map $\mathcal{F} : \ell^2(M_R, \mathbb{N}_0) \longrightarrow L^2(W)$ (analogy to the **Fourier Transform**) given by

$$(A_w)_{w=0}^\infty \longmapsto \sum_{w=0}^{\infty} A_w Q_w(x)$$

is an isometry. If the polynomials are dense in $L^2(W)$, this map is unitary with the inverse $\mathcal{F}^{-1} : L^2(W) \longrightarrow \ell^2(M_R, \mathbb{N}_0)$ given by

$$f \longmapsto A_w = \int_a^b f(x) W(x) Q_w^*(x) dx.$$

- Here \mathbb{N}_0 takes up the role of “physical space”
- The interval (a, b) the role of “frequency space”.

This is, clearly, a **noncommutative extension** of the problem raised by C. Shanon.

The noncommutative setting

The map $\mathcal{F} : \ell^2(M_R, \mathbb{N}_0) \longrightarrow L^2(W)$ (analogy to the **Fourier Transform**) given by

$$(A_w)_{w=0}^\infty \longmapsto \sum_{w=0}^{\infty} A_w Q_w(x)$$

is an isometry. If the polynomials are dense in $L^2(W)$, this map is unitary with the inverse $\mathcal{F}^{-1} : L^2(W) \longrightarrow \ell^2(M_R, \mathbb{N}_0)$ given by

$$f \longmapsto A_w = \int_a^b f(x) W(x) Q_w^*(x) dx.$$

- Here \mathbb{N}_0 takes up the role of “**physical space**”
- The interval (a, b) the role of “**frequency space**”.

This is, clearly, a **noncommutative extension** of the problem raised by C. Shanon.

The noncommutative setting

The map $\mathcal{F} : \ell^2(M_R, \mathbb{N}_0) \longrightarrow L^2(W)$ (analogy to the **Fourier Transform**) given by

$$(A_w)_{w=0}^\infty \longmapsto \sum_{w=0}^{\infty} A_w Q_w(x)$$

is an isometry. If the polynomials are dense in $L^2(W)$, this map is unitary with the inverse $\mathcal{F}^{-1} : L^2(W) \longrightarrow \ell^2(M_R, \mathbb{N}_0)$ given by

$$f \longmapsto A_w = \int_a^b f(x) W(x) Q_w^*(x) dx.$$

- Here \mathbb{N}_0 takes up the role of “**physical space**”
- The interval (a, b) the role of “**frequency space**”.

This is, clearly, a **noncommutative extension** of the problem raised by C. Shanon.

Consider the problem of determining a function f , from the following data:

- f has support on the finite set $\{0, \dots, N\}$
- its Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}f$ is known on the compact set $[a, \Omega]$.

This can be formalized as follows

$$\chi_{\Omega} \mathcal{F}f = g = \text{known}, \quad \chi_N f = f.$$

We can combine the two equations into

$$Ef = \chi_{\Omega} \mathcal{F} \chi_N f = g.$$

To analyze this problem we need to compute the singular vectors (and values) of the operator $E : \ell^2(M_R, \mathbb{N}_0) \longrightarrow L^2(W)$. These are given by the **eigenvectors of the operators**

$$E^*E = \chi_N \mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_{\Omega} \mathcal{F} \chi_N \quad \text{and} \quad EE^* = \chi_{\Omega} \mathcal{F} \chi_N \mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_{\Omega}.$$

Consider the problem of determining a function f , from the following data:

- f has support on the finite set $\{0, \dots, N\}$
- its Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}f$ is known on the compact set $[a, \Omega]$.

This can be formalized as follows

$$\chi_{\Omega} \mathcal{F}f = g = \text{known}, \quad \chi_N f = f.$$

We can combine the two equations into

$$Ef = \chi_{\Omega} \mathcal{F} \chi_N f = g.$$

To analyze this problem we need to compute the singular vectors (and values) of the operator $E : \ell^2(M_R, \mathbb{N}_0) \longrightarrow L^2(W)$. These are given by the **eigenvectors of the operators**

$$E^*E = \chi_N \mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_{\Omega} \mathcal{F} \chi_N \quad \text{and} \quad EE^* = \chi_{\Omega} \mathcal{F} \chi_N \mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_{\Omega}.$$

Consider the problem of determining a function f , from the following data:

- f has support on the finite set $\{0, \dots, N\}$
- its Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}f$ is known on the compact set $[a, \Omega]$.

This can be formalized as follows

$$\chi_{\Omega} \mathcal{F}f = g = \text{known}, \quad \chi_N f = f.$$

We can combine the two equations into

$$Ef = \chi_{\Omega} \mathcal{F} \chi_N f = g.$$

To analyze this problem we need to compute the singular vectors (and values) of the operator $E : \ell^2(M_R, \mathbb{N}_0) \longrightarrow L^2(W)$. These are given by the **eigenvectors of the operators**

$$E^*E = \chi_N \mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_{\Omega} \mathcal{F} \chi_N \quad \text{and} \quad EE^* = \chi_{\Omega} \mathcal{F} \chi_N \mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_{\Omega}.$$

Consider the problem of determining a function f , from the following data:

- f has support on the finite set $\{0, \dots, N\}$
- its Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}f$ is known on the compact set $[a, \Omega]$.

This can be formalized as follows

$$\chi_{\Omega}\mathcal{F}f = g = \text{known} , \quad \chi_N f = f.$$

We can combine the two equations into

$$Ef = \chi_{\Omega}\mathcal{F}\chi_N f = g.$$

To analyze this problem we need to compute the singular vectors (and values) of the operator $E : \ell^2(M_R, \mathbb{N}_0) \longrightarrow L^2(W)$. These are given by the **eigenvectors of the operators**

$$E^*E = \chi_N\mathcal{F}^{-1}\chi_{\Omega}\mathcal{F}\chi_N \quad \text{and} \quad EE^* = \chi_{\Omega}\mathcal{F}\chi_N\mathcal{F}^{-1}\chi_{\Omega}.$$

Consider the problem of determining a function f , from the following data:

- f has support on the finite set $\{0, \dots, N\}$
- its Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}f$ is known on the compact set $[a, \Omega]$.

This can be formalized as follows

$$\chi_{\Omega}\mathcal{F}f = g = \text{known} , \quad \chi_N f = f.$$

We can combine the two equations into

$$Ef = \chi_{\Omega}\mathcal{F}\chi_N f = g.$$

To analyze this problem we need to compute the singular vectors (and values) of the operator $E : \ell^2(M_R, \mathbb{N}_0) \longrightarrow L^2(W)$. These are given by the **eigenvectors of the operators**

$$E^*E = \chi_N\mathcal{F}^{-1}\chi_{\Omega}\mathcal{F}\chi_N \quad \text{and} \quad EE^* = \chi_{\Omega}\mathcal{F}\chi_N\mathcal{F}^{-1}\chi_{\Omega}.$$

Consider the problem of determining a function f , from the following data:

- f has support on the finite set $\{0, \dots, N\}$
- its Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}f$ is known on the compact set $[a, \Omega]$.

This can be formalized as follows

$$\chi_{\Omega}\mathcal{F}f = g = \text{known} , \quad \chi_N f = f.$$

We can combine the two equations into

$$Ef = \chi_{\Omega}\mathcal{F}\chi_N f = g.$$

To analyze this problem we need to compute the singular vectors (and values) of the operator $E : \ell^2(M_R, \mathbb{N}_0) \longrightarrow L^2(W)$. These are given by the **eigenvectors of the operators**

$$E^*E = \chi_N\mathcal{F}^{-1}\chi_{\Omega}\mathcal{F}\chi_N \quad \text{and} \quad EE^* = \chi_{\Omega}\mathcal{F}\chi_N\mathcal{F}^{-1}\chi_{\Omega}.$$

Consider the problem of determining a function f , from the following data:

- f has support on the finite set $\{0, \dots, N\}$
- its Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}f$ is known on the compact set $[a, \Omega]$.

This can be formalized as follows

$$\chi_{\Omega} \mathcal{F}f = g = \text{known} , \quad \chi_N f = f.$$

We can combine the two equations into

$$Ef = \chi_{\Omega} \mathcal{F} \chi_N f = g.$$

To analyze this problem we need to compute the singular vectors (and values) of the operator $E : \ell^2(M_R, \mathbb{N}_0) \longrightarrow L^2(W)$. These are given by the **eigenvectors of the operators**

$$E^*E = \chi_N \mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_{\Omega} \mathcal{F} \chi_N \quad \text{and} \quad EE^* = \chi_{\Omega} \mathcal{F} \chi_N \mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_{\Omega}.$$

The global operators

Consider now **the problem of finding the eigenfunctions** of E^*E and EE^* . For arbitrary N and Ω there is no hope of doing this analytically, and one has to resort to numerical methods and this is not an easy problem.

- The operator E^*E , acting in $\ell^2(M_R, \mathbb{N}_0)$ is just a finite dimensional block-matrix M (**discrete**), and each block is given by

$$(M)_{m,n} = (E^*E)_{m,n} = \int_a^\Omega Q_m(x)W(x)Q_n^*(x)dx, \quad 0 \leq m, n \leq N$$

The second operator $S = EE^*$ acts in $L^2((a, \Omega), W(t)dt)$ by means of the integral kernel (**continuous**)

$$k(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^N Q_n^*(x)Q_n(y).$$

The global operators

Consider now **the problem of finding the eigenfunctions** of E^*E and EE^* . For arbitrary N and Ω there is no hope of doing this analytically, and one has to resort to numerical methods and this is not an easy problem.

- The operator E^*E , acting in $\ell^2(M_R, \mathbb{N}_0)$ is just a finite dimensional block-matrix M (**discrete**), and each block is given by

$$(M)_{m,n} = (E^*E)_{m,n} = \int_a^\Omega Q_m(x)W(x)Q_n^*(x)dx, \quad 0 \leq m, n \leq N$$

The second operator $S = EE^*$ acts in $L^2((a, \Omega), W(t)dt)$ by means of the integral kernel (**continuous**)

$$k(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^N Q_n^*(x)Q_n(y).$$

The global operators

Consider now **the problem of finding the eigenfunctions** of E^*E and EE^* . For arbitrary N and Ω there is no hope of doing this analytically, and one has to resort to numerical methods and this is not an easy problem.

- The operator E^*E , acting in $\ell^2(M_R, \mathbb{N}_0)$ is just a finite dimensional block-matrix M (**discrete**), and each block is given by

$$(M)_{m,n} = (E^*E)_{m,n} = \int_a^\Omega Q_m(x)W(x)Q_n^*(x)dx, \quad 0 \leq m, n \leq N$$

The second operator $S = EE^*$ acts in $L^2((a, \Omega), W(t)dt)$ by means of the integral kernel (**continuous**)

$$k(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^N Q_n^*(x)Q_n(y).$$

Q_n matrix **orthonormal** polynomials w.r.t a matrix weight $W(t)$ supported for instance in the interval $[-1, 1]$.

One fixes a natural **even** number N and $\Omega \in (-1, 1]$ and consider the matrix M of total size $N \times N$,

$$E^*E = M = \begin{pmatrix} M^{0,0} & M^{0,1} & \dots & M^{0,\frac{N}{2}-1} \\ M^{1,0} & M^{1,1} & \dots & M^{1,\frac{N}{2}-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ M^{\frac{N}{2}-1,0} & M^{\frac{N}{2}-1,1} & \dots & M^{\frac{N}{2}-1,\frac{N}{2}-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M^{i,j} = \int_{-1}^{\Omega} Q_i(x)W(x)Q_j(x)^*dx, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i, j \leq \frac{N}{2} - 1$$

- the restriction to the interval $[-1, \Omega]$ implements **“band-limiting”**
- the restriction to the range $0, 1, \dots, \frac{N}{2} - 1$ takes care of **“time-limiting”**.

Q_n matrix **orthonormal** polynomials w.r.t a matrix weight $W(t)$ supported for instance in the interval $[-1, 1]$.

One fixes a natural **even** number N and $\Omega \in (-1, 1]$ and consider the matrix M of total size $N \times N$,

$$E^*E = M = \begin{pmatrix} M^{0,0} & M^{0,1} & \dots & M^{0,\frac{N}{2}-1} \\ M^{1,0} & M^{1,1} & \dots & M^{1,\frac{N}{2}-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ M^{\frac{N}{2}-1,0} & M^{\frac{N}{2}-1,1} & \dots & M^{\frac{N}{2}-1,\frac{N}{2}-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M^{i,j} = \int_{-1}^{\Omega} Q_i(x)W(x)Q_j(x)^* dx, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i, j \leq \frac{N}{2} - 1$$

- the restriction to the interval $[-1, \Omega]$ implements "band-limiting"
- the restriction to the range $0, 1, \dots, \frac{N}{2} - 1$ takes care of "time-limiting".

Q_n matrix **orthonormal** polynomials w.r.t a matrix weight $W(t)$ supported for instance in the interval $[-1, 1]$.

One fixes a natural **even** number N and $\Omega \in (-1, 1]$ and consider the matrix M of total size $N \times N$,

$$E^*E = M = \begin{pmatrix} M^{0,0} & M^{0,1} & \dots & M^{0, \frac{N}{2}-1} \\ M^{1,0} & M^{1,1} & \dots & M^{1, \frac{N}{2}-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ M^{\frac{N}{2}-1,0} & M^{\frac{N}{2}-1,1} & \dots & M^{\frac{N}{2}-1, \frac{N}{2}-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M^{i,j} = \int_{-1}^{\Omega} Q_i(x)W(x)Q_j(x)^* dx, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i, j \leq \frac{N}{2} - 1$$

- the restriction to the interval $[-1, \Omega]$ implements **“band-limiting”**
- the restriction to the range $0, 1, \dots, \frac{N}{2} - 1$ takes care of **“time-limiting”**.

The *discrete version* of the problem

Searching for a commuting block-tridiagonal matrix L

The problem is to find all **block tridiagonal symmetric matrices L** such that

$$ML = LM.$$

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} L^{1,1} & L^{1,2} & 0 & \dots & & 0 \\ L^{2,1} & L^{2,2} & L^{2,3} & 0 & \dots & \\ \dots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & \dots & & L^{\frac{N}{2}-1, \frac{N}{2}-2} & L^{\frac{N}{2}-1, \frac{N}{2}-1} & L^{\frac{N}{2}, \frac{N}{2}} \\ 0 & \dots & & & L^{\frac{N}{2}, \frac{N}{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The *discrete version* of the problem

Searching for a commuting block-tridiagonal matrix L

The problem is to find all **block tridiagonal symmetric matrices L** such that

$$ML = LM.$$

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} L^{1,1} & L^{1,2} & 0 & \dots & & 0 \\ L^{2,1} & L^{2,2} & L^{2,3} & 0 & \dots & \\ \dots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & \dots & & L^{\frac{N}{2}-1, \frac{N}{2}-2} & L^{\frac{N}{2}-1, \frac{N}{2}-1} & L^{\frac{N}{2}, \frac{N}{2}} \\ 0 & \dots & & & L^{\frac{N}{2}, \frac{N}{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Searching for a commuting block-tridiagonal matrix L

- Notice that in principle there is not guarantee that one may find any such L except for a scalar multiple of the identity.
- We need matrices L that have a **simple spectrum**.
- N and Ω are **free parameters**. There is a *different* matrix L for each choice of N and Ω .

Searching for a commuting block-tridiagonal matrix L

- Notice that in principle there is not guarantee that one may find any such L except for a scalar multiple of the identity.
- We need matrices L that have a **simple spectrum**.
- N and Ω are **free parameters**. There is a *different* matrix L for each choice of N and Ω .

Searching for a commuting block-tridiagonal matrix L

- Notice that in principle there is not guarantee that one may find any such L except for a scalar multiple of the identity.
- We need matrices L that have a **simple spectrum**.
- N and Ω are **free parameters**. There is a *different* matrix L for each choice of N and Ω .

The *discrete version* of the problem

The analog with Shannon's problem

time limiting $T \rightarrow N$ size of the matrix M

band limiting $W \rightarrow \Omega$ upper bound in the definition of $M^{i,j}$

integral operator $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow M$ matrix of truncated inner products

differential operator $D \rightarrow L$ matrix with a "narrow" band

The *discrete* version of the problem

The analog with Shanon's problem

time limiting $T \rightarrow N$ size of the matrix M

band limiting $W \rightarrow \Omega$ upper bound in the definition of $M^{i,j}$

integral operator $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow M$ matrix of truncated inner products

differential operator $D \rightarrow L$ matrix with a “narrow” band

The analog with Shannon's problem

time limiting $T \rightarrow N$ size of the matrix M

band limiting $W \rightarrow \Omega$ upper bound in the definition of $M^{i,j}$

integral operator $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow M$ matrix of truncated inner products

differential operator $D \rightarrow L$ matrix with a “narrow” band

The analog with Shannon's problem

time limiting $T \rightarrow N$ size of the matrix M

band limiting $W \rightarrow \Omega$ upper bound in the definition of $M^{i,j}$

integral operator $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow M$ matrix of truncated inner products

differential operator $D \rightarrow L$ matrix with a “narrow” band

The first examples of band and time limiting in the **matrix case** as a natural extension of previous works of A. Grünbaum and A. Grünbaum-L. Longhi-M. Perlstadt can be found in:

- F. A. Grünbaum, I. Pacharoni and I. Zurrian, *Time and band limiting for matrix valued functions, an Example*, SIGMA 11 (2015), 044.
- M. Castro, F. A. Grünbaum, *The Darboux process and time-and-band limiting for matrix orthogonal polynomials*, Linear Algebra and Appl. 487 (2015), 328–341.

In the previous papers one deals with a global operator given by a **full matrix** and one looks for a commuting local object given by a **tridiagonal matrix**.

The first examples of band and time limiting in the **matrix case** as a natural extension of previous works of A. Grünbaum and A. Grünbaum-L. Longhi-M. Perlstadt can be found in:

- F. A. Grünbaum, I. Pacharoni and I. Zurrian, *Time and band limiting for matrix valued functions, an Example*, SIGMA 11 (2015), 044.
- M. Castro, F. A. Grünbaum, *The Darboux process and time-and-band limiting for matrix orthogonal polynomials*, Linear Algebra and Appl. 487 (2015), 328–341.

In the previous papers one deals with a global operator given by a **full matrix** and one looks for a commuting local object given by a **tridiagonal matrix**.

The *continuous version* of the problem, an example

Continuous version” of time and band limiting

The [references](#) for the [matrix case](#) are:

- F.A. Grünbaum, I. Pacharoni and I. Zurrián, *Time and band limiting for matrix valued functions: an integral and a commuting differential operator*, Inverse Problems **33**, No. 2 (2017), 025005.
- M. Castro, F.A. Grünbaum, I. Pacharoni and I. Zurrián, *A further look at time and band limiting for matrix orthogonal polynomials*, (2017), arXiv:1703.06942. To appear in “*Frontiers in Orthogonal Polynomials and q-Series*”, World Scientific.

The *continuous version* of the problem, an example

“Continuous version”: when the global operator is given by an integral operator, a Jacobi type example

For $\alpha, \beta > -1$, the scalar Jacobi weight is given by

$$w_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta, \quad (1)$$

supported in the interval $[-1, 1]$.

We consider a Jacobi type weight matrix of dimension two [CGPZ 2017]

$$W(x) = W_{(\alpha,\beta)} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} w_{\alpha,\beta} + w_{\beta,\alpha} & -w_{\alpha,\beta} + w_{\beta,\alpha} \\ -w_{\alpha,\beta} + w_{\beta,\alpha} & w_{\alpha,\beta} + w_{\beta,\alpha} \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in [-1, 1].$$

A particular case of these weight matrices has already been considered in previous work by Grunbaum-Pacharoni-Zurrián (2015, 2017) and Castro-Grunbaum (2015).

The *continuous version* of the problem, an example

“Continuous version”: when the global operator is given by an integral operator, a Jacobi type example

For $\alpha, \beta > -1$, the scalar Jacobi weight is given by

$$w_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta, \quad (1)$$

supported in the interval $[-1, 1]$.

We consider a Jacobi type weight matrix of dimension two [CGPZ 2017]

$$W(x) = W_{(\alpha,\beta)} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} w_{\alpha,\beta} + w_{\beta,\alpha} & -w_{\alpha,\beta} + w_{\beta,\alpha} \\ -w_{\alpha,\beta} + w_{\beta,\alpha} & w_{\alpha,\beta} + w_{\beta,\alpha} \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in [-1, 1].$$

A particular case of these weight matrices has already been considered in previous work by Grunbaum-Pacharoni-Zurrián (2015, 2017) and Castro-Grunbaum (2015).

The *continuous version* of the problem, an example

“Continuous version”: when the global operator is given by an integral operator, a Jacobi type example

For $\alpha, \beta > -1$, the scalar Jacobi weight is given by

$$w_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta, \quad (1)$$

supported in the interval $[-1, 1]$.

We consider a Jacobi type weight matrix of dimension two [CGPZ 2017]

$$W(x) = W_{(\alpha,\beta)} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} w_{\alpha,\beta} + w_{\beta,\alpha} & -w_{\alpha,\beta} + w_{\beta,\alpha} \\ -w_{\alpha,\beta} + w_{\beta,\alpha} & w_{\alpha,\beta} + w_{\beta,\alpha} \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in [-1, 1].$$

A particular case of these weight matrices has already been considered in previous work by Grunbaum-Pacharoni-Zurrián (2015, 2017) and Castro-Grunbaum (2015).

The *continuous version* of the problem, an example

“Continuous version”: when the global operator is given by an integral operator, a Jacobi type example

The sequence of Monic polynomials P_n , orthogonal with respect to the previous weight is given by

$$P_n(x) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) + p_n^{(\beta,\alpha)}(x) & -p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) + p_n^{(\beta,\alpha)}(x) \\ -p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) + p_n^{(\beta,\alpha)}(x) & p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) + p_n^{(\beta,\alpha)}(x) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ are the classical Jacobi polynomials

$$p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)} = \frac{(\alpha+1)_n}{n!} {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, n + \alpha + \beta \\ \alpha + 1 \end{matrix}; \frac{1-x}{2} \right),$$

which are orthogonal with respect to the weight $w_{\alpha,\beta}$

The *continuous version* of the problem, an example

“Continuous version”: when the global operator is given by an integral operator, a Jacobi type example

The sequence of Monic polynomials P_n , orthogonal with respect to the previous weight is given by

$$P_n(x) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) + p_n^{(\beta,\alpha)}(x) & -p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) + p_n^{(\beta,\alpha)}(x) \\ -p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) + p_n^{(\beta,\alpha)}(x) & p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) + p_n^{(\beta,\alpha)}(x) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ are the classical Jacobi polynomials

$$p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)} = \frac{(\alpha+1)_n}{n!} {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, n + \alpha + \beta \\ \alpha + 1 \end{matrix}; \frac{1-x}{2} \right),$$

which are orthogonal with respect to the weight $w_{\alpha,\beta}$

The *continuous version* of the problem, an example

“Continuous version”: when the global operator is given by an integral operator

Our polynomials P_n are eigenfunctions of the second order differential operator

$$D = \frac{d^2}{dx^2}(1 - x^2) + \frac{d}{dx}(-x(\alpha + \beta + 2) + (\alpha - \beta)T),$$

with scalar eigenvalues $\Lambda_n = -n(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)$.

with

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$(P_n)_n$, satisfy the **second order differential equation**

$$P_n D = \Lambda_n P_n$$

The *continuous version* of the problem, an example

“Continuous version”: when the global operator is given by an integral operator

Our polynomials P_n are eigenfunctions of the second order differential operator

$$D = \frac{d^2}{dx^2}(1 - x^2) + \frac{d}{dx}(-x(\alpha + \beta + 2) + (\alpha - \beta)T),$$

with scalar eigenvalues $\Lambda_n = -n(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)$.

with

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$(P_n)_n$, satisfy the **second order differential equation**

$$P_n D = \Lambda_n P_n$$

The *continuous version* of the problem, an example

“Continuous version”: when the global operator is given by an integral operator

We have that the differential operator D can be factorized as

$$D = \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{d}{dx} (1 - x^2)W(x) \right) W(x)^{-1},$$

Therefore the sequence of matrix orthogonal polynomials $(P_n(x))_n$ satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{dP_n}{dx}(x) (1 - x^2)W(x) \right) W(x)^{-1} = \Lambda_n P_n(x).$$

The *continuous version* of the problem, an example

“Continuous version”: when the global operator is given by an integral operator

We have that the differential operator D can be factorized as

$$D = \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{d}{dx} (1 - x^2)W(x) \right) W(x)^{-1},$$

Therefore the sequence of matrix orthogonal polynomials $(P_n(x))_n$ satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{dP_n}{dx}(x) (1 - x^2)W(x) \right) W(x)^{-1} = \Lambda_n P_n(x).$$

The *continuous* version of the problem, an example

The integral kernel

One considers the Integral kernel

$$k_N(s, t) = \sum_{n=0}^N Q_n^*(t) Q_n(s).$$

where $Q_n(x) = h_n^{-1/2} P_n(x)$ is the sequence of **orthonormal polynomials**.

Here $h_n \text{Id} = \|P_n(x)\|^2$,

$$h_n = \frac{2^{\alpha+\beta+1} \Gamma(\alpha + n + 1) \Gamma(\beta + n + 1)}{(\alpha + \beta + 2n + 1) n! \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + n + 1)}.$$

The *continuous* version of the problem, an example

The integral kernel

One considers the Integral kernel

$$k_N(s, t) = \sum_{n=0}^N Q_n^*(t) Q_n(s).$$

where $Q_n(x) = h_n^{-1/2} P_n(x)$ is the sequence of **orthonormal polynomials**.

Here $h_n \text{Id} = \|P_n(x)\|^2$,

$$h_n = \frac{2^{\alpha+\beta+1} \Gamma(\alpha + n + 1) \Gamma(\beta + n + 1)}{(\alpha + \beta + 2n + 1) n! \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + n + 1)}.$$

The *continuous version* of the problem, an example

The integral kernel

The Integral kernel

$$k_N(s, t) = \sum_{n=0}^N Q_n^*(t) Q_n(s).$$

defines the integral operator I_k acting on any function $F \in L^2(W(t))$ as

$$I_k(F) = \int_{-1}^{\Omega} F(s) W(s) (k_N(s, t))^* ds$$

We search for an operator

$$\tilde{D} = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \tilde{E}_2(t) + \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{E}_1(t) + \frac{d^0}{dt} \tilde{E}_0(t)$$

such that

$$I_k \tilde{D} = \tilde{D} I_k.$$

The *continuous* version of the problem, an example

The integral kernel

The Integral kernel

$$k_N(s, t) = \sum_{n=0}^N Q_n^*(t) Q_n(s).$$

defines the integral operator I_k acting on any function $F \in L^2(W(t))$ as

$$I_k(F) = \int_{-1}^{\Omega} F(s) W(s) (k_N(s, t))^* ds$$

We search for an operator

$$\tilde{D} = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \tilde{E}_2(t) + \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{E}_1(t) + \frac{d^0}{dt} \tilde{E}_0(t)$$

such that

$$I_k \tilde{D} = \tilde{D} I_k.$$

The *continuous version* of the problem, an example

The integral kernel

$$k_N(s, t) = \sum_{n=0}^N Q_n(t)^* Q_n(s), \quad I_k(F) = \int_{-1}^{\Omega} F(s) W(s) (k_N(s, t))^* ds$$

Observe that we have **two** parameters Ω and N :

$[-1, \Omega]$: “band-limiting”

$0, 1, \dots, N$ “time-limiting”

The *continuous version* of the problem, an example

The commuting differential operator

Theorem

Let $p(x) = (1 - x^2)W(x)$. The symmetric second-order differential operator

$$\tilde{D} = \frac{d}{dx} \left((x - \Omega) \frac{d}{dx} p(x) \right) W(x)^{-1} + x A, \quad (2)$$

with $A = N(N + \alpha + \beta + 2)\text{Id}$, commutes with the integral operator I_k given by the integral kernel $k_N(s, t)$

The vector space of such operators has **dimension two** except for trivial commuting operators of order zero.

The *continuous* version of the problem, an example

The commuting differential operator

Theorem

Let $p(x) = (1 - x^2)W(x)$. The symmetric second-order differential operator

$$\tilde{D} = \frac{d}{dx} \left((x - \Omega) \frac{d}{dx} p(x) \right) W(x)^{-1} + x A, \quad (2)$$

with $A = N(N + \alpha + \beta + 2)\text{Id}$, commutes with the integral operator I_k given by the integral kernel $k_N(s, t)$

The vector space of such operators has **dimension two** except for trivial commuting operators of order zero.

The *continuous version* of the problem, an example

The commuting differential operator

Theorem

Let $p(x) = (1 - x^2)W(x)$. The symmetric second-order differential operator

$$\tilde{D} = \frac{d}{dx} \left((x - \Omega) \frac{d}{dx} p(x) \right) W(x)^{-1} + x A, \quad (3)$$

with $A = N(N + \alpha + \beta + 2)\text{Id}$, commutes with the integral operator I_k given by the integral kernel $k_N(s, t)$

The operator \tilde{D} is symmetric with respect to the weight matrix W , i., e.,

$$\langle f \tilde{D}, g \rangle_{\Omega} = \int_{-1}^{\Omega} f(x) \tilde{D} W(x) g^*(x) dx = \langle f, g \tilde{D} \rangle_{\Omega}$$

The *continuous* version of the problem, an example

The commuting differential operator

Explicitly, we have

$$\tilde{D} = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \tilde{E}_2(x) + \frac{d}{dx} \tilde{E}_1(x) + \tilde{E}_0(x) \quad (4)$$

where the coefficients \tilde{E}_j , $j = 0, 1, 2$, are given by

$$\tilde{E}_2 = (x - \Omega)(1 - x^2)\text{Id},$$

$$\tilde{E}_1 = \left(-(3 + \alpha + \beta)x^2 + x\Omega(2 + \alpha + \beta) + 1 \right) \text{Id} + (\alpha - \beta)(x - \Omega)T,$$

$$\tilde{E}_0 = xN(N + \alpha + \beta + 2)\text{Id},$$

and T is the permutation matrix

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The *continuous version* of the problem, an example

The commuting differential operator

The sequence of **orthonormal polynomials** satisfy a second order differential equation

$$Q_n(x)D = \Upsilon_n Q_n(x),$$

for certain scalar eigenvalue sequence Υ_n and

$$D = \frac{d^2}{dx^2}(1 - x^2) + \frac{d}{dx}(-x(\alpha + \beta + 2) + (\alpha - \beta)T),$$

The **commuting differential operator** \tilde{D} is related to the differential operator D by

$$\tilde{D} = (x - \Omega)D + (1 - x^2)\frac{d}{dx} + xA.$$

The *continuous version* of the problem, an example

The commuting differential operator

The sequence of **orthonormal polynomials** satisfy a second order differential equation

$$Q_n(x)D = \Upsilon_n Q_n(x),$$

for certain scalar eigenvalue sequence Υ_n and

$$D = \frac{d^2}{dx^2}(1 - x^2) + \frac{d}{dx}(-x(\alpha + \beta + 2) + (\alpha - \beta)T),$$

The **commuting differential operator** \tilde{D} is related to the differential operator D by

$$\tilde{D} = (x - \Omega)D + (1 - x^2)\frac{d}{dx} + xA.$$

The *continuous version* of the problem, an example

Main tools in the proof

- Differentiation formula

$$(1-x^2) \frac{d}{dx} P_n(x) = -nxP_n(x) - \frac{n(\alpha - \beta)}{\alpha + \beta + 2n} T P_n(x) + \gamma_{n-1} P_{n-1}(x),$$

where

$$\gamma_{n-1} = \frac{2(n + \alpha)(n + \beta)}{\alpha + \beta + 2n}.$$

The *continuous version* of the problem, an example

Main tools in the proof

- Christoffel Darboux formula

$$\frac{\kappa_{n-1}}{\kappa_n h_{n-1}} (P_{n-1}^*(y)P_n(x) - P_n^*(y)P_{n-1}(x)) = (x-y) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{P_k^*(y)P_k(x)}{h_k}$$

with

$$\kappa_n = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 2n + 1)}{2^n n! \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + n + 1)}, \quad h_n = \|P_n(x)\|^2$$

Observe that $\kappa_n \text{Id}$ is the leading coefficient of the matrix polynomial $P_n(x)$ and we also have

$$\frac{\kappa_{n-1}}{\kappa_n} = \frac{2n(n + \alpha + \beta)}{(2n + \alpha + \beta)(2n + \alpha + \beta - 1)}.$$

The *continuous version* of the problem, an example

A Chebyshev type example

As a particular case one may consider $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta = -\frac{1}{2}$ in

$$W_{(\alpha,\beta)} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} w_{\alpha,\beta} + w_{\beta,\alpha} & -w_{\alpha,\beta} + w_{\beta,\alpha} \\ -w_{\alpha,\beta} + w_{\beta,\alpha} & w_{\alpha,\beta} + w_{\beta,\alpha} \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in [-1, 1].$$

with

$$w_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta$$

we have the **Chebyshev type weight**

$$W_{(\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2})}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in [-1, 1],$$

which was introduced in

- Berezanskii Ju.M., *Expansions in eigenfunctions of selfadjoint operators*, AMS Providence, 1968.

The *continuous* version of the problem, an example

A Chebyshev type example

As a particular case one may consider $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta = -\frac{1}{2}$ in

$$W_{(\alpha,\beta)} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} w_{\alpha,\beta} + w_{\beta,\alpha} & -w_{\alpha,\beta} + w_{\beta,\alpha} \\ -w_{\alpha,\beta} + w_{\beta,\alpha} & w_{\alpha,\beta} + w_{\beta,\alpha} \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in [-1, 1].$$

with

$$w_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta$$

we have the [Chebyshev type weight](#)

$$W_{(\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2})}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in [-1, 1],$$

which was introduced in

- Berezanskii Ju.M., *Expansions in eigenfunctions of selfadjoint operators*, AMS Providence, 1968.

The *continuous version* of the problem, an example

A Chebyshev type example

The monic family of polynomials orthogonal with respect to this weight matrix, is given explicitly in terms of the **Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind** $U_n(x)$,

$$\tilde{P}_n(x) = \frac{1}{2^n} \begin{pmatrix} U_n(x) & -U_{n-1}(x) \\ -U_{n-1}(x) & U_n(x) \end{pmatrix},$$

Moreover, the polynomials $P_n(x)$ orthogonal with respect to the **Chebyshev weight** $W_{(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})}(x)$ satisfy, the first order differential equation

$$P'_n(x) \begin{pmatrix} -x & 1 \\ -1 & -x \end{pmatrix} + P_n(x) \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -n-1 & 0 \\ 0 & n \end{pmatrix} P_n(x),$$

as shown in [\[Castro-Grunbaum, 2005\]](#)

The *continuous version* of the problem, an example

A Chebyshev type example

The monic family of polynomials orthogonal with respect to this weight matrix, is given explicitly in terms of the **Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind** $U_n(x)$,

$$\tilde{P}_n(x) = \frac{1}{2^n} \begin{pmatrix} U_n(x) & -U_{n-1}(x) \\ -U_{n-1}(x) & U_n(x) \end{pmatrix},$$

Moreover, the polynomials $P_n(x)$ orthogonal with respect to the **Chebyshev weight** $W_{(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})}(x)$ satisfy, the first order differential equation

$$P'_n(x) \begin{pmatrix} -x & 1 \\ -1 & -x \end{pmatrix} + P_n(x) \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -n-1 & 0 \\ 0 & n \end{pmatrix} P_n(x),$$

as shown in **[Castro-Grunbaum, 2005]**

The *continuous version* of the problem, an example

A Chebyshev type example

One considers here the integral operator I_k defined by the integral kernel

$$k(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^N 4^n \tilde{P}_n(x)^* \tilde{P}_n(y).$$

Particularly, the norm of the polynomials \tilde{P}_n is given by

$$\|\tilde{P}_n\| = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2^n}.$$

Hence, for this particular example, the commuting operator \tilde{D} is given by

$$\tilde{D} = \frac{d^2}{dx^2}(1-x^2)(x-\Omega) + \frac{d}{dx} \left((-3x^2 + 2\Omega x + 1)\text{Id} + (x-\Omega)T \right) + N(N+2)x$$

where T is the permutation matrix

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The *continuous version* of the problem, an example

A Chebyshev type example

One considers here the integral operator I_k defined by the integral kernel

$$k(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^N 4^n \tilde{P}_n(x)^* \tilde{P}_n(y).$$

Particularly, the norm of the polynomials \tilde{P}_n is given by

$$\|\tilde{P}_n\| = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2^n}.$$

Hence, for this particular example, the commuting operator \tilde{D} is given by

$$\tilde{D} = \frac{d^2}{dx^2}(1-x^2)(x-\Omega) + \frac{d}{dx} \left((-3x^2 + 2\Omega x + 1)\text{Id} + (x-\Omega)T \right) + N(N+2)x$$

where T is the permutation matrix

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The *continuous version* of the problem, an example

Some final remarks

- When dealing with a **global operator given by an integral kernel** the main problem is given a matrix weight, and the associated second order differential operator D , **to find the commuting operator \tilde{D}** in terms of D .
- One needs to address the issue of the “**simplicity of the spectrum**” of \tilde{D} in the matrix valued context in order to guarantee that the eigenfunctions of the integral and the differential operators are the same.
- An interesting problem is to see how time and band survives after a **Darboux process**, i.e., if one can still guarantee the existence of a commuting local operator, as it was considered for a global operator given by a full matrix by C. and Grunbaum, *Linear algebra and approx.*, (2015).

The *continuous version* of the problem, an example

Some final remarks

- When dealing with a **global operator given by an integral kernel** the main problem is given a matrix weight, and the associated second order differential operator D , **to find the commuting operator \tilde{D}** in terms of D .
- One needs to address the issue of the **“simplicity of the spectrum”** of \tilde{D} in the matrix valued context in order to guarantee that the eigenfunctions of the integral and the differential operators are the same.
- An interesting problem is to see how time and band survives after a **Darboux process**, i.e., if one can still guarantee the existence of a commuting local operator, as it was considered for a global operator given by a full matrix by C. and Grunbaum, *Linear algebra and approx.*, (2015).

The *continuous version* of the problem, an example

Some final remarks

- When dealing with a **global operator given by an integral kernel** the main problem is given a matrix weight, and the associated second order differential operator D , **to find the commuting operator \tilde{D}** in terms of D .
- One needs to address the issue of the **“simplicity of the spectrum”** of \tilde{D} in the matrix valued context in order to guarantee that the eigenfunctions of the integral and the differential operators are the same.
- An interesting problem is to see how time and band survives after a **Darboux process**, i.e., if one can still guarantee the existence of a commuting local operator, as it was considered for a global operator given by a full matrix by C. and Grunbaum, *Linear algebra and approx.*, (2015).